## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

3

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

1213

1415

16

17 18

1920

2122

23

24

25

26

27

28

ESTHER ORTIZ, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

 $McKESSON\ CORPORATION,\ et\ al.,$ 

Defendants.

NO. C13-3159 TEH

ORDER GRANTING
DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO
STAY

Defendant GlaxoSmithKline LLC ("GSK") has moved to stay all proceedings pending potential transfer of this case to Multidistrict Litigation ("MDL") Docket No. 1871, *In re: Avandia Marketing, Sales Practices and Products Liability Litigation*. Upon careful consideration, the Court finds that a stay is in the interests of judicial economy, and it does not appear that a stay will cause prejudice to either party. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that GSK's motion to stay is GRANTED. *See Landis v. N. Am. Co.*, 299 U.S. 248, 254-55 (1936) (courts have inherent power to control their own dockets, including the power to stay proceedings in the interests of judicial economy); *In re Apple iPhone Application Litig.*, Case No. 10-CV-05878-LHK, 2011 WL 2149102, at \*2 (N.D. Cal. May 31, 2011) (factors courts consider when weighing a stay include "(1) conserving judicial resources and avoiding duplicative litigation; (2) hardship and inequity to the moving party if the action is not stayed; and (3) potential prejudice to the non-moving party").

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 07/17/13

THELTON E. HENDERSON, JUDGE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

flaneura